UDC 547.85: 579.262: 576.54:615.281.9

Synthesis and antibiofilm activity of novel 1,4-dihydropyrido[1,2-*a*] pyrrolo[2,3-*d*]pyrimidine-2-carboxamides

L. V. Muzychka¹, N. I. Humeniuk², I. O. Boiko², N. O. Vrynchanu², O. B. Smolii¹

¹ V.P.Kukhar Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry and Petrochemistry of the NAS of Ukraine

1, Academician Kukhar Str., Kyiv, Ukraine, 02094

² SI Institute of Pharmacology and Toxicology of the NAMS of Ukraine

14, Anton Tsedik Str., Kyiv, Ukraine, 03057

liubovmuzychka@gmail.com

Aim. Synthesis of novel alkyl-substituted 4-oxo-1,4-dihydropyrido[1,2-a]pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine-2-carboxamides and evaluation of their antibiofilm activity in vitro. Methods. Organic synthesis, analytical and spectral methods, broth microilution method, biofilm formation on abiotic surface. Results. A simple and efficient method for the synthesis of new 1,4-dihydropyrido[1,2-*a*]pyrrolo[2,3-*d*]pyrimidine-2-carboxylic acid derivatives was developed. The results of antibiofilm activity screening showed that among the synthesized alkyl-substituted 1,4-dihydropyrido[1,2-a]pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine-2-carboxamides there are the compounds capable of disrupting the formation of biofilm of methicillin-resistant strain S. aureus 222, E. coli 311 and P. aeruginosa 449. Compound 6g is active against biofilms of E. coli 311, biomass decreases by 91.2 %, and against S. aureus 222 (reduction by 54.0 %). Compound 6d is active against biofilms of P. aeruginosa 449 and S. aureus 222 (reduction by 78.7 % and 50.2 %, respectively). Conclusions. A series of novel substituted 1-alkyl-4-oxo-1,4dihydropyrido[1,2-a]pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine-2-carboxamides were synthesized. The activity of the synthesized pyrido[1,2-a]pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidines towards the S. aureus 222, E. coli 311 and P. aeruginosa 449 biofilm formation was investigated, and the compounds with pronounced antibiofilm activity were found.

K e y w o r d s: pyrido[1,2-*a*]pyrrolo[2,3-*d*]pyrimidines, pyrido[1,2-*a*]pyrimidine-3-carbalde-hydes, synthesis, antibiofilm activity.

Introduction

The introduction of penicillin and other antibiotics into clinical practice revolutionized modern medicine and saved the lives of millions of people [1]. However, almost simultaneously with the application of antimicrobial agents (AMAs), the antibiotic-resistant strains

[©] Institute of Molecular Biology and Genetics, NAS of Ukraine, 2024

[©] Publisher PH "Akademperiodyka" of the NAS of Ukraine, 2024

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

⁽http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

were identified, which decline the effectiveness of antimicrobial therapy. A manifestation of antibiotic resistance is the formation of microbial biofilms, the structured communities of bacterial cells surrounded by a polymer matrix, attached to surfaces [2].

The biofilm development begins with the adhesion to the substrate and ends with the matrix rupture and the planktonic cell dissemination with the subsequent colonization of new abiotic or biotic surfaces. Biofilms contribute to approximately 80 % of diseases with a chronic, recurrent course, such as chronic wound infection, osteomyelitis, chronic rhinosinusitis, urinary tract pathology, etc. [3, 4]. The biofilm microorganisms are resistant to environmental stress factors, tolerant to antimicrobial therapy, ensured by a complex array of resistance mechanisms. The realization of resistance occurs through mechanisms inherent to both planktonic cells (antibiotic inactivation by enzymes, hyperactivity of efflux pumps, modification of target structure, reduction of the cell membrane permeability) and specific mechanisms, such as the presence of the matrix that prevents the AMAs penetration into the biofilm at a concentration sufficient to inhibit cells, the activation of Quorum Sensing (QS) systems, and the formation of persister cells with a slowed metabolism [5–7].

The complexity of the biofilm structure, its functional characteristics, the presence of a comprehensive resistance mechanism, and the insufficiency of pharmaceuticals with the antibiofilm action highlight the problem of developing the agents capable of affecting various stages of biofilm formation. The structural components of the biofilm, regulatory signaling systems (c-di-GMP, QS, non-coding sRNAs, eDNA), genes responsible for adhesion, extracellular matrix synthesis, and the transition from planktonic to biofilm state can be the main targets for the compounds with the antibiofilm activity [3].

Currently, in addition to research on the antibiofilm action of modern AMAs, there is an initiative to explore the potential of new substances to block the synthesis of virulence factors and specific matrix enzymes of biofilms [6, 8]. It has been established that DNAse, proteinase K, and trypsin affect the matrix eDNA, disrupting the matrix structure and density [9]. N-acetylcysteine and benzimidazole contribute to the biofilm breakdown and prevent the exopolysaccharide (EPS) formation [10, 11]. The compounds acting as chelators for bivalent cations (Ca2+, Mg2+) reduce the adhesion to surfaces and disturb the biofilm formation [12]. Nanoparticles of quercetin, silver, and furanone also disturb the film formation. The antibiofilm activity has been observed in the gallimanane diterpenoid derivatives of imidazole and indole, plant extracts, peptides, and polysaccharides derived from both synthetic and natural sources [13]. The mechanism of their action is associated with influencing Quorum Sensing systems and disrupting the transcription of specific genes essential for QS [14].

Recently, pyrido[1,2-*a*]pyrimidine derivatives have attracted the interest of researchers due to their importance and application in the synthesis of tricyclic condensed systems with a potential biological activity [15]. Among them, dipyridopyrimidines are the most studied scaffolds used as a source of bioactive compounds such as potent chitinase inhibitors for pathogen and pest control [16, 17]. Additionally, iminodipyridinopyrimidine derivatives were identified as hepatitis C virus (HCV) inhibitors [18] and speckle-type POZ protein (SPOP) inhibitors against kidney cancer [19]. Moreover, sulfonyl-substituted 2-imino-1,2dihydro-5*H*-dipyrido[1,2-*a*:2',3'-*d*]pyrimidin-5-ones can effectively inhibit the growth of certain cancer cell lines [20].

Pyridopyrrolopyrimidine-based compounds have recently been identified as SARS-CoV-2-M^{Pro} inhibitors [21].

In this work, the antimicrobial and antibiofilm activity of novel 1-alkyl-4-oxo-1,4dihydropyrido[1,2-*a*]pyrrolo[2,3-*d*]pyrimidine-2-carboxamides against the *E. coli*, *S. aureus* or *P. aeruginosa* strains was evaluated.

Materials and Methods

Chemistry

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were acquired on Varian Unity Plus 400 (400 MHz for ¹H nuclei) and Bruker Avance DRX-500 (500 and 125 MHz for ¹H and ¹³C nuclei, respectively) instruments, with TMS as internal standard. ¹³C NMR signals were assigned by using APT method. LC-MS spectra were performed on Agilent 1100 Series HPLC equipped with diode array and Agilent LC/MSD SL mass selective detector, ionization method — chemical ionization at atmospheric pressure, m/z scan range from 80 to 1000. Elemental analysis was performed at the Analytical laboratory of the V.P.Kukhar Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry and Petrochemistry of the NAS of Ukraine. Melting points were determined on Boetius hot stage apparatus. The reaction progress and purity of the obtained compounds were controlled by TLC on Silufol UV-254 plates using a 19:1 mixture of CHCl₃-MeOH as eluent.

The starting 2-chloro-4-oxo-4*H*-pyrido[1,2*a*]pyrimidine-3-carbaldehydes **1a-c** was prepared using the method [16, 22].

General procedure for the synthesis of substituted 4-oxo-1,4-dihydropyrido[1,2-a] pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine-2-carboxylic acids 4a-e. A mixture of 2-chloro-4-oxo-4Hpyrido[1,2-a]pyrimidine-3-carbaldehyde 1a-c (10 mmol), ethyl N-alkylglycinate (10 mmol) and triethylamine (20 mmol) in methanol (20 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The precipitate was filtered, obtaining intermediate substituted aldehyde 2a-e. A solution of sodium methoxide (10 mmol) in methanol (20 mL) was added to intermediates **2a-e**, stirred for 1 hour at room temperature, and water (10 mL) was added. The mixture was heated at 50-60 °C for 0.5-1 h, cooled to room temperature, and acidified with HCl (1:1) to pH < 7. The precipitate was filtered and washed with water.

1-Methyl-4-oxo-1,4-dihydropyrido[*1,2-a*] *pyrrolo*[*2,3-d*]*pyrimidine-2-carboxylic acid* (*4a*) Yield 62 %, mp 281–283 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) d: 3.94 (s, 3H, CH₃), 7.07 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.28 (s, 1H, CH), 7.50 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.73 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H, CH), 8.84 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H, CH), 12.80 (br.s, 1H, OH). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) d: 30.6 (CH₃), 101.1 (C), 109.9 (CH), 113.0 (CH), 125.0 (CH), 126.4 (C), 126.8 (CH), 135.3 (CH), 148.4 (C), 149.3 (C), 154.1 (C), 161.9 (C). MS (CI): m/z 244.1 [M+H]⁺. Calcd. for C₁₂H₉N₃O₃: C 59.26; H 3.73; N 17.28. Found: C 59.30; H 3.75; N 17.31.

4-Oxo-1-propyl-1,4-dihydropyrido[1,2-a] pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine-2-carboxylic acid (4b). Yield 53 %, mp 264–266 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) d: 0.83 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H, CH₃), 1.72–1.77 (m, 2H, CH₂), 4.52 (t, J =7.2 Hz, 2H, CH₂), 7.11 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.38 (s, 1H, CH), 7.56 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.75 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H, CH), 8.89 (d, J =7.2 Hz, 1H, CH), 12.65 (br.s, 1H, OH). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) d: 10.9 (CH₃), 23.4 (CH₂), 44.3 (CH₂), 101.0 (C), 110.7 (CH), 113.1 (CH), 125.0 (CH), 125.3 (C), 126.8 (CH), 135.4 (CH), 148.5 (C), 149.4 (C), 154.2 (C), 161.7 (C). MS (CI): m/z 272.1 [M+H]⁺. Calcd. for C₁₄H₁₃N₃O₃: C 61.99; H 4.83; N 15.49. Found: C 62.03; H 4.85; N 15.45.

1,9-Dimethyl-4-oxo-1,4-dihydropyrido[1,2-a]pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine-2-carboxylic acid (4c). Yield 55 %, mp 269–271 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) d: 2.41 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.88 (s, 3H, CH₃), 6.93 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.21 (s, 1H, CH), 7.55 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H, CH), 8.68 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H, CH), 12.95 (br.s, 1H, OH). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆) d: 17.8 (CH₃), 30.4 (CH₃), 100.7 (C), 110.0 (CH), 112.3 (CH), 124.8 (CH), 125.8 (C), 132.6 (C), 133.6 (CH), 147.9 (C), 148.7 (C), 154.3 (C), 161.9 (C). MS (CI): m/z 258.0 [M+H]⁺. Calcd. for C₁₃H₁₁N₃O₃: C 60.70; H 4.31; N 16.33. Found: C 60.67; H 4.46; N 16.34.

1-(3-Methoxypropyl)-9-methyl-4-oxo-1,4dihydropyrido[*1,2-a*]*pyrrolo*[*2,3-d*]*pyrimidine-2-carboxylic acid* (*4d*). Yield 64 %, mp 215–217 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) d: 1.96–1.99 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.49 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.17 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.31 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H, CH₂), 4.63 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H, CH₂), 7.01 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.34 (s, 1H, CH), 7.65 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H, CH), 8.77 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H, CH), 13.04 (br.s, 1H, OH). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) d: 17.7 (CH₃), 30.0 (CH₂), 41.2 (CH₂), 57.7 (OCH₃), 69.3 (CH₂), 100.7 (C), 110.6 (CH), 112.4 (CH), 124.9 (CH), 125.3 (C), 132.7 (C), 133.7 (CH), 148.1 (C), 148.9 (C), 154.4 (C), 161.7 (C). MS (CI): m/z 316.1 [M+H]⁺. Calcd. for C₁₆H₁₇N₃O₄: C 60.94; H 5.43; N 13.33. Found: C 60.97; H 5.50; N 13.37.

1, 7-*Dimethyl*-4-oxo-1, 4-*dihydropyrido*[1,2-*a*]*pyrrolo*[2,3-*d*]*pyrimidine*-2-*carboxylic acid* (4*e*). Yield 59 %, mp 243–245 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) d: 2.35 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.78 (s, 3H, CH₃), 6.88 (s, 1H, CH), 7.50 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.67 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H, CH), 8.82 (s, 1H, CH), 12.97 (br.s, 1H, OH). MS (CI): m/z 258.0 [M+H]⁺. Calcd. for C₁₃H₁₁N₃O₃: C 60.70; H 4.31; N 16.33. Found: C 60.66; H 4.27; N 16.30.

General procedure for the synthesis of 1-alkyl-4-oxo-1,4-dihydropyrido[1,2-a] pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine-2-carboxamides 6a-h. A suspension of carboxylic acids 4a-e (2 mmol) in thionyl chloride (5 mL) was refluxed for 1–3 h. The solvent was evaporated to dryness, and a solution of the appropriate amine (2 mmol) and triethylamine (3 mmol) in acetonitrile (10 mL) was added to the residue. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 1–3 h and cooled to room temperature. The precipitate was filtered and washed with water. The product was recrystallized from MeOH or MeOH-DMF.

N-Allyl-1-methyl-4oxo-1,4-dihydropyrido[*1,2-a*]*pyrrolo*[*2,3-d*]*pyrimidine-2-carboxamide* (*6a*). Yield 89 %, mp 231–233 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) d: 3.89–3.91 (m, 2H, CH₂), 3.95 (s, 3H, CH₃), 5.10 (d, J =10.4 Hz, 1H) and 5.21 (d, J = 16.8 Hz, 1H, CH₂), 5.86–5.96 (m, 1H, CH), 7.09 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.44 (s, 1H, CH), 7.54 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.72 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H, CH), 8.68 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H, NH), 8.88 (d, J =7.6 Hz, 1H, CH); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) d: 30.5 (CH₃), 41.0 (CH₂), 100.8 (C), 105.4 (CH), 112.8 (CH), 115.2 (CH₂), 124.9 (CH), 126.5 (CH), 129.0 (C), 134.7 (CH), 135.4 (CH), 147.9 (C), 148.9 (C), 154.1 (C), 160.5 (C). MS (CI): m/z 283.1 [M+H]⁺. Calcd. for C₁₅H₁₄N₄O₂: C 63.82; H 5.00; N 19.85. Found: C 63.87; H 4.96; N 19.81.

N,N-Diethyl-1-methyl-4oxo-1,4-dihydropyrido[1,2-a]pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine-2-carboxamide (6b). Yield 77 %, mp 110-112 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) d: 1.17 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 6H, 2CH₃), 3.34 (q, J =6.8 Hz, 4H, 2CH₂), 3.72 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 6.85 (s, 1H, CH), 7.10 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.56 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.73 (t, J =7.2 Hz, 1H, CH), 8.92 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H, CH). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) d: 13.5 (2CH₃), 29.7 (CH₃), 39.5 (2CH₂), 100.5 (C), 101.7 (CH), 112.9 (CH), 124.9 (CH), 126.7 (CH), 130.2 (C), 134.6 (CH), 147.4 (C), 148.0 (C), 153.9 (C), 161.7 (C). MS (CI): m/z 299.1 [M+H]⁺. Calcd. for C₁₆H₁₈N₄O₂: C 64.41; H 6.08; N 18.78. Found: C 64.37; H 6.12; N 18.83.

1-Methyl-2-(pyrrolidin-1-ylcarbonyl) pyrido[*1,2-a*]*pyrrolo*[*2,3-d*]*pyrimidin-4(1H)one* (*6c*). Yield 85 %, mp 164–166 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) d: 1.86–1.90 (m, 4H, 2CH₂), 3.42–3.52 (m, 2H, CH₂), 3.70–3.73 (m, 2H, CH₂), 3.85 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 7.11–7.13 (m, 2H, 2CH), 7.58 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.75 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, CH), 8.93 (d, J =8.0 Hz, 1H, CH). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) d: 23.8 (CH₂), 25.9 (CH₂), 30.4 (CH₃), 46.2 (CH₂), 48.9 (CH₂) 100.6 (C), 105.0 (CH), 113.0 (CH), 124.9 (CH), 126.8 (CH), 129.9 (C), 134.9 (CH), 147.8 (C), 148.4 (C), 153.9 (C), 160.2 (C). MS (CI): m/z 297.2 [M+H]⁺. Calcd. for $C_{16}H_{16}N_4O_2$: C 64.85; H 5.44; N 18.91. Found: C 64.78; H 5.50; N 18.96.

2-(Morpholin-4-ylcarbonyl)-1-propylpyrido[1,2-a]pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4(1H)-one (6d). Yield 87 %, mp 175–177 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) d: 0.78 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H, CH₃), 1.69–1.74 (m, 2H, CH₂), 3.64–3.68 $(m, 8H, 4CH_2), 4.30 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, CH_2),$ 6.95 (s, 1H, CH), 7.09 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.57 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.73 (t, J =7.2 Hz, 1H, CH), 8.92 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H, CH). 13 C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) d: 11.0 (CH₃), 23.3 (CH₂), 40.9 (2CH₂), 43.9 (CH₂), 66.2 (2CH₂), 100.7 (C), 104.5 (CH), 113.0 (CH), 125.1 (CH), 126.8 (CH), 128.0 (C), 134.9 (CH), 147.8 (C), 148.4 (C), 154.1 (C), 161.3 (C). MS (CI): m/z 341.1 [M+H]⁺. Calcd. for C₁₈H₂₀N₄O₃: C 63.52; H 5.92; N 16.46. Found: C 63.54; H 4.88; N 16.43.

1,9-Dimethyl-4-oxo-N-pyridin-3-yl-1,4-dihydropyrido[1,2-a]pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine-2-carboxamide (6e). Yield 80 %, mp 330-332 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) d: 2.57 (s, 3H, CH₃), 4.06 (s, 3H, CH₃), 7.06 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.40 (t, J = 7.2 Hz)1H, CH), 7.68 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.76 (s, 1H, CH), 8.18 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H, CH), 8.31(d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H, CH), 8.85 (d, J = 7.2 Hz,1H, CH), 8.95 (s, 1H, CH), 10.42 (s, 1H, NH). 13 C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) d: 17.9 (CH₃), 30.7 (CH₃), 100.6 (C), 107.4 (CH), 112.5 (CH), 123.5 (CH), 124.9 (CH), 126.9 (CH), 128.2 (C), 132.7 (C), 133.6 (CH), 135.7 (C), 141.7 (CH), 144.4 (CH), 148.0 (C), 148.8 (C), 154.6 (C), 159.7 (C). MS (CI): m/z 334.2 $[M+H]^+$. Calcd. for $C_{18}H_{15}N_5O_2$: C 64.86; H 4.54; N 21.01. Found: C 64.89; H 4.52; N 21.06.

1,9-Dimethyl-2-(piperidin-1-ylcarbonyl) pyrido[1,2-a]pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4(1H)one (6f). Yield 84 %, mp 184–186 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) d: 1.56–1.65 (m, 6H, 3CH₂), 2.55 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.59–3.63 (m, 4H, 2CH₂), 3.78 (s, 3H, CH₃), 6.84 (s, 1H, CH), 7.02 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.64 (d, J =7.2 Hz, 1H, CH), 8.83 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H, CH). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) d: 17.8 (CH₃), 24.0 (CH₂), 25.8 (2CH₂), 29.7 (CH₃), 40.8 (2CH₂), 100.3 (C), 102.8 (CH), 112.3 (CH), 124.8 (CH), 129.4 (C), 132.6 (C), 133.0 (CH), 147.2 (C), 147.8 (C), 154.4 (C), 160.9 (C). MS (CI): m/z 325.1 [M+H]⁺. Calcd. for C₁₈H₂₀N₄O₂: C 66.65; H 6.21; N 17.27. Found: C 66.59; H 6.19; N 17.30.

1-(3-Methoxypropyl)-9-methyl-N-(3-morpholin-4-ylpropyl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydropyrido[1,2-a]pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine-2-carboxamide (6g). Yield 75 %, mp 103–105 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) d: 1.69 (t, J =6.6 Hz, 2H, CH₂), 1.95 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H, CH₂), 2.23–2.41 (m, 6H, 3CH₂), 2.60 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.16 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.40–4.45 (m, 4H, $2CH_2$), 3.51-3.65 (m, 4H, $2CH_2$), 4.64 (t, J = $6.8 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}, \text{CH}_2$, 6.99 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.37 (s, 1H, CH), 7.63 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H, CH), 8.51(t, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H, NH), 8.81 (d, J =6.8 Hz, 1H, CH). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) d: 17.8 (CH₃), 26.0 (CH₂), 30.1 (CH₂), 37.1 (CH₂), 42.0 (2CH₂), 53.3 (2CH₂), 55.9 (CH₂), 57.5 (CH₃), 66.2 (2CH₂), 69.5 (CH₂), 100.3 (C), 105.3 (CH), 112.3 (CH), 124.8 (CH), 128.9 (C), 132.7 (CH), 133.1 (C), 147.5 (C), 148.3 (C), 154.3 (C), 160.7 (C). MS (CI): m/z 442.1 [M+H]⁺. Calcd. for C₂₃H₃₁N₅O₄: C 62.57; H 7.08; N 15.86. Found: C 62.52; H 7.14; N 15.91.

N,N-Diethyl-1,7-dimethyl-4-oxo-1,4-dihydropyrido[1,2-a]pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine-2-carboxamide (6h). Yield 79 %, mp 105-107 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) d: 1.18 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 6H, 2CH₃), 2.36 (s, 3H, CH_3), 3.48 (q, J = 6.8 Hz, 4H, 2CH₂), 3.72 (s, 3H, CH₃), 6.84 (s, 1H, CH), 7.52 (d, J =8.8 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.63 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H, CH), 8.74 (s, 1H, CH). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) d: 13.8 (2CH₃), 17.6 (CH₃), 29.7 (CH₃), 39.8 (2CH₂), 100.6 (C), 101.6 (CH), 122.4 (CH), 123.5 (C), 124.5 (CH), 130.0 (C), 137.6 (CH), 146.5 (C), 148.1 (C), 153.9 (C), 161.8 (C). MS (CI): m/z 313.1 [M+H]⁺. Calcd. for C₁₇H₂₀N₄O₂: C 65.37; H 6.45; N 17.94. Found: C 65.43; H 6.46; N 17.99.

Antimicrobial activity

Bacterial strains. S. aureus strain 222, E. coli strain 311 and *P. aeruginosa* strain 449 were isolated from patients with the purulent inflammatory diseases. The isolates were identified by morphological and biochemical conventional laboratory methods. They were maintained in trypticase soy broth (TSB) supplemented with 15 % glycerol and stored at -20 °C. The antibiotic susceptibility testing was performed by the disk diffusion method in accordance to recommendations of the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST), except susceptibility to vancomycin determined by serial dilution method [23]. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was determined by the serial broth microdilution method according to [24]. S. aureus 222 was identified as MRSA by molecular methods on the ground of the *mecA* gene expression. The strain was resistant to oxacillin, cefoxitin and susceptible to vancomycin and clindamycin. *E. coli* 311 was resistant to amikacin, norfloxacin, cefoperazone, ciprofloxacin and susceptible to gentamicin. *P. aeruginosa* 449 was resistant to cefepime; susceptible to ciprofloxacin, meropenem, aztreonam, and amikacin.

Biofilm assay. The antibiofilm activity of compounds 6a, 6d, 6g, and 6h was studied at subinhibitory concentration (sub-MIC) 25.0 mg/L (MIC > 200.0 mg/L) in polystyrene microtiter plates as described by O'Toole [25]. The antibiofilm activity of compound **6b** against S. aureus was studied at concentration 37.5 mg/L (0.5 MIC), E. coli and P. aeruginosa - 25.0 mg/L (MIC > 200.0 mg/L)(Table 1). When evaluating the compound's effect on the biofilm formation, its solution and inoculum (an overnight bacterial culture diluted with fresh TSB by 1:100, final OD $_{600} = 0.055 \pm 0.001$) were applied to wells simultaneously. Thereafter, the microtiter plates were incubated for 24 h at 37 °C. To determine the biofilm biomass, the content of plates was removed, the wells were washed three times with distilled water, 0.1 % solution of gentian violet was added and incubated for 10–15 min. To detect a biofilm, the dye was extracted with ethanol (15 min). Optical density was measured by Adsorbance Microplate Reader ELx \times 800 (BioTek, USA) at a wavelength of 630 nm. The intact cultures of microorganisms grown under the same conditions without the compound adding were served as a control.

Statistical analysis for the biofilm assay was made using the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis

H-test. A p-value of <0.05 was considered as significant. STATISTICA, version 10.0 (StatSoft, USA) was used for the data analysis. All experiments were repeated in triplicate.

Results and Discussion

The synthesis of target 1,4-dihydropyrido[1,2a]pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine-2-carboxamides 6 from substituted carboxylic acids 4 was implemented using carbaldehydes 1 as a starting material according to Fig. 1, 2. The 2-chloro-4-oxo-4*H*-pyrido[1,2-*a*]pyrimidine-3-carbaldehydes 1a-c were prepared in good yields, according to the previously reported method [16, 22]. In the presence of triethylamine, carbaldehydes **1a-c** were reacted with ethyl *N*-alkylglycinate in methanol to produce the pyrido[1,2-*a*]pyrimidine intermediates 2a-e that cyclized via the addition of the sodium methoxide to form a tricyclic system, 1,4-dihydropyrido[1,2-*a*]pyrrolo[2,3-*d*]pyrimidine derivatives **3a-e** (Fig. 1). Alkaline hydrolysis of esters 3a-e gave substituted 1-alkyl-4-oxo-1,4-dihydropyrido[1,2-*a*]pyrrolo[2,3-*d*] pyrimidine-2-carboxylic acids 4a-e. It should be noted that the examples of synthesis of fused pyrrole derivatives were previously described in [26, 27].

The target 1,4-dihydropyrido[1,2-*a*]pyrrolo[2,3-*d*]pyrimidine-2-carboxamide derivatives **6** were synthesized via a two-step process as shown in Fig. 2. First, treating compounds **4a-e** with thionyl chloride gave 1,4-dihydropyrido[1,2-*a*]pyrrolo[2,3-*d*]pyrimidine-2-carbonyl chlorides **5a-e**. The interaction of intermediates **5a-e** with amines in acetonitrile using triethylamine as a base led to the formation of the corresponding 1,4-dihydropyrido[1,2-*a*] pyrrolo[2,3-*d*]pyrimidine-2-carboxamide de-



Fig. 1. Synthesis of substituted 4-oxo-1,4-dihydropyrido[1,2-a]pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine-2-carboxylic acids 4a-e.

rivatives **6a-h** in yields ranging from 75 % to 89 %.

Antimicrobial activity

The antimicrobial activity of novel 1,4-dihydropyrido[1,2-*a*]pyrrolo[2,3-*d*]pyrimidine-2-carboxamides against *P. aeruginosa* 449, *S. aureus* 222 and *E. coli* 311 is shown in the Table 1.

As seen from the results of experiments, novel 1,4-dihydropyrido[1,2-*a*]pyrrolo[2,3-*d*]

pyrimidine-2-carboxamides **6a-6h** do not exhibit a significant antimicrobial activity against the gram-negative microorganisms (*P. aeruginosa* 449 and *E. coli* 311). Their MICs are > 200.0 mg/L (Table 1). Against meticillinresistant *S. aureus* 222, only **6b** exhibits the antimicrobial activity: MIC is 75.0 mg/L. MICs of other tested compounds are > 200.0 mg/L.

The action of novel 1,4-dihydropyrido[1,2*a*]pyrrolo[2,3-*d*]pyrimidine-2-carboxamides



Fig. 2. Synthesis of 1-alkyl-4-oxo-1,4-dihydropyrido[1,2-a]pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine-2-carboxamides 6a-h.

Table 1. Antimicrobial activity (MIC, mg/L) of novel 1,4-dihydropyrido[1,2-*a*]pyrrolo[2,3-*d*]pyrimidine-2-carboxamides

Bacterial strain	MIC, mg/L				
	6a	6b	6d	6g	6h
S. aureus 222	> 200.0	75.0	> 200.0	> 200.0	> 200.0
<i>E. coli</i> 311	> 200.0	> 200.0	> 200.0	> 200.0	> 200.0
P. aeruginosa 449	> 200.0	> 200.0	> 200.0	> 200.0	> 200.0

on the *S. aureus* 222, *E. coli* 311 and *P. aeruginosa* 449 biofilm formation is shown in Fig. 3.

According to the obtained data (Fig. 3A), compound **6b** exhibits the most pronounced inhibitory effect on the biofilms formation by *S. aureus* 222: the biomass decreases by

62.6 % under the action of sub-inhibitory concentration (p < 0.05). Compounds **6d** and **6g**, on the other hand, demonstrate a less pronounced effect at sub-MIC, when the biomass decreases by 50.2 % and 54.0 %, respectively, as compared to control (p < 0.05). No antibiofilm effect is observed for compound **6a**. In



contrast, compound **6h** stimulates the biofilm formation by 41.3 % as compared to control (p < 0.05).

The investigation of the impact of novel 1,4-dihydropyrido[1,2-*a*]pyrrolo[2,3-*d*]pyrimidine-2-carboxamides on *E. coli* 311 (Fig. 3B) reveals that compound **6g** exhibits the highest level of activity. Under the action of sub-inhibitory concentration, the biomass decreases by 91.2 % compared to control (p<0.05). Compound **6d**, conversely, stimulates the biofilms formation by *E. coli* 311 at 25 mg/L: an increase in the biofilm biomass is by 26.2 %. On other hand, compounds **6a, 6b,** and **6h** do not display an antibiofilm effect.

It is shown (Fig. 3C) that compound **6a** influencing the *P. aeruginosa* biofilm formation decreases the biofilm biomass significantly by 40.9 %, **6b** — by 58.5 %, **6d** — by 78.7 %, **6h** — by 56.8 % at sub-MIC concen-



Fig. 3. The action of novel 1,4-dihydropyrido[1,2-*a*] pyrrolo[2,3-*d*]pyrimidine-2-carboxamides on the *S. aureus* 222 (*A*), *E. coli* 311 (*B*), *P. aeruginosa* 449 (*C*) biofilm formation process. The value of the intact control is taken as 100 %. *p < 0.05 as compared to control.

tration. Compound **6g**, conversely, stimulates the biofilms formation by *P. aeruginosa* 449 at sub-MIC, when the biomass increases by 14.4 %, but these changes are not statistically significant (p> 0.05).

Considering the biofilm role in the development of inflectional processes, the bacterial resistance and the ineffectiveness of antimicrobial therapy, the synthesis and screening of new compounds with antibacterial and antibiofilm activities remain relevant. According to the data obtained, the novel 1,4-dihydropyrido[1,2-*a*]pyrrolo[2,3-*d*]pyrimidine-2carboxamides do not exhibit significant antimicrobial activity against planktonic cells of both gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria. However, in the sub-MICs they are capable of disrupting the biofilm formation by methicillin-resistant strain *S. aureus* 222, *E. coli* 311, and *P. aeruginosa* 449. Compound **6b** showed the most pronounced antibiofilm activity against *S. aureus* 222. Compounds **6d** and **6g** are also active against biofilms of *S. aureus* 222 (reduction by 50.2 % and 54.0 % respectively). Compound **6g** turned out to be the most active against the biofilm formation of *E. coli* 311 (biomass decreased by 91.2 %). Compounds **6a**, **6b**, **6d**, and **6h** showed a reduction in biofilm formation of *P. aeruginosa* 449 by 40.9–78.7 %.

In the experiments at sub-inhibitory concentrations, 1,4-dihydropyrido[1,2-a]pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine-2-carboxamides showed not only the inhibition but also stimulation of the biofilm formation, particularly under the action of compound 6h on MRSA (an increase in biofilm biomass by 41.3 %). Such an effect is, as a rule, nonspecific and independent of the chemical class of compounds, their mechanism of action, or the type of microorganism. An increase in biofilm biomass is observed under the influence of various groups of antimicrobial agents and substances that do not exhibit inhibitory effects on gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria [28]. The mechanism of stimulation of the biofilm formation is not fully understood, but it may be associated with a protective response of the bacterial cells to adverse conditions. Under the influence of sub-MIC concentrations of compounds, the expression of genes regulating cell autolysis, release of extracellular DNA (eDNA) and enhancement of biofilm formation may increase in bacteria. Additionally, various substances at low concentrations can act as signaling molecules and directly or indirectly induce the transcriptional changes in genes responsible for adhesion and/or increased production of biofilm matrix components. They may also increase the production of secondary messengers (c-di-GMP, ppGpp) or induce a protective response to stress in bacteria [28].

Conclusions

An efficient method for the synthesis of new of 1,4-dihydropyrido[1,2-a]pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine-2-carboxylic acid derivatives based on 2-chloro-4-oxo-4H-pyrido[1,2-a]pyrimidine-3-carbaldehydes and ethyl N-alkylglycinates was developed. The investigation of antibiofilm activity indicates that novel 1,4-dihydropyrido[1,2-a]pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine-2-carboxamides can disrupt the biofilm formation of methicillin-resistant strain S. aureus, E. coli and P. aeruginosa. Compounds 6b, 6d, and 6g showed the most pronounced antibiofilm activity against S. aureus 222, compound 6g — against E. coli 311. Compounds 6a, 6b, 6d, and 6h demonstrated reduced biofilm formation of P. aeruginosa 449.

REFERENCES

- Muteeb G, Rehman MT, Shahwan M, Aatif M. Origin of Antibiotics and Antibiotic Resistance, and Their Impacts on Drug Development: A Narrative Review. *Pharmaceuticals (Basel)*. 2023; 16(11):1615.
- Costerton JW, Stewart PS, Greenberg EP. Bacterial biofilms: a common cause of persistent infections. *Science*. 1999; 284(5418):1318–22.
- Wang S, Zhao Y, Breslawec AP, et al., and Yu Q. Strategy to combat biofilms: a focus on biofilm dispersal enzymes. NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes. 2023; 9(1):63.
- Sharma D, Misba L, Khan AU. Antibiotics versus biofilm: an emerging battleground in microbial communities. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control. 2019; 8:76.
- 5. *Shree P, Singh CK, Sodhi KK, et al.*, and *Singh DK*. Biofilms: Understanding the structure and contribu-

tion towards bacterial resistance in antibiotics. *Med Microecol.* 2023; **16**:100084.

- Sharma S, Mohler J, Mahajan SD, et al., and Aalinkeel R. Microbial Biofilm: A Review on Formation, Infection, Antibiotic Resistance, Control Measures, and Innovative Treatment. *Microorganisms*. 2023; 11(6):1614.
- Li Y, Xiao P, Wang Y, Hao Y. Mechanisms and Control Measures of Mature Biofilm Resistance to Antimicrobial Agents in the Clinical Context. ACS Omega. 2020; 5(36):22684–90.
- Worthington RJ, Richards JJ, Melander C. Small molecule control of bacterial biofilms. Org Biomol Chem. 2012; 10(37):7457–74.
- Roy R, Tiwari M, Donelli G, Tiwari V. Strategies for combating bacterial biofilms: A focus on anti-biofilm agents and their mechanisms of action. *Virulence*. 2018; 9(1):522–54.
- Sambanthamoorthy K, Gokhale AA, Lao W, et al., and Waters CM. Identification of a novel benzimidazole that inhibits bacterial biofilm formation in a broad-spectrum manner. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2011; 55(9):4369–78.
- Dinicola S, De Grazia S, Carlomagno G, Pintucci JP. N-acetylcysteine as powerful molecule to destroy bacterial biofilms. A systematic review. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2014; 18(19):2942–8.
- Abraham NM, Lamlertthon S, Fowler VG, Jefferson KK. Chelating agents exert distinct effects on biofilm formation in Staphylococcus aureus depending on strain background: role for clumping factor B. J Med Microbiol. 2012; 61(Pt 8):1062–70.
- Rabin N, Zheng Y, Opoku-Temeng C, et al., and Sintim HO. Biofilm formation mechanisms and targets for developing antibiofilm agents. Future Med Chem. 2015; 7(4):493–512.
- Mirghani R, Saba T, Khaliq H, et al., and Rijal G. Biofilms: Formation, drug resistance and alternatives to conventional approaches. *AIMS Microbiol*. 2022; 8(3):239–77.
- Bhawale RT, Chillal AS, Kshirsagar UA. 4H-Pyrido[1,2-a]pyrimidin-4-one, biologically important fused heterocyclic scaffold: Synthesis and functionalization. J Heterocycl Chem. 2023; 60(8): 1356–73.

- Yuan P, Jiang X, Wang S, et al., and Qian X. X-ray Structure and Molecular Docking Guided Discovery of Novel Chitinase Inhibitors with a Scaffold of Dipyridopyrimidine-3-carboxamide. J Agric Food Chem. 2020; 68(47):13584–93.
- Jiang X, Kumar A, Motomura Y, et al., and Yang Q. A Series of Compounds Bearing a Dipyrido-Pyrimidine Scaffold Acting as Novel Human and Insect Pest Chitinase Inhibitors. J Med Chem. 2020; 63(3):987–1001.
- Park DS, Jo E, Choi J, et al., and Windisch MP. Characterization and structure-activity relationship study of iminodipyridinopyrimidines as novel hepatitis C virus inhibitor. *Eur J Med Chem.* 2017; 140:65–73.
- Dong Z, Wang Z, Guo ZQ, et al., and Yang CG. Structure-Activity Relationship of SPOP Inhibitors against Kidney Cancer. J Med Chem. 2020; 63(9):4849–66.
- Pilyo SG, Demydchuk BA, Moskvina VS, et al., and Brovarets VS. A combinatorial library of substituted 3-sulfonyl-2-imino-1,2-dihydro-5H-dipyrido[1,2a:2',3'-d]pyrimidin-5-ones and their anticancer activities. Biopolym Cell. 2022; 38(4): 242–56.
- Aljuhani A, Ahmed HEA, Ihmaid SK, et al., and Abulkhair HS. In vitro and computational investigations of novel synthetic carboxamide-linked pyridopyrrolopyrimidines with potent activity as SARS-CoV-2-MPro inhibitors. RSC Adv. 2022; 12(41): 26895–907.
- Horváth Ág, Hermecz I. Nitrogen bridgehead compounds. Part 65. Vilsmeier-haack formylation of 4H-pyrido[1,2-a]pyrimidin-4-ones. Part 6. J Heterocycl Chem. 1986; 23(5):1295–8.
- 23. *The European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing*. Breakpoint tables for interpretation of MICs and zone diameters. *Version 13.*0, 2023. http://www.eucast.org.
- 24. ISO 20776–1:2019. Susceptibility testing of infectious agents and evaluation of performance of antimicrobial susceptibility test devices Part 1: Broth microdilution reference method for testing the in vitro activity of antimicrobial agents against rapidly growing aerobic bacteria involved in infectious diseases//Geneva: International Organization for

Standardization. 2019. https://www.iso. org/standard/70464.html (accepted Oct 25, 2022).

- 25. O'Toole GA. Microtiter dish biofilm formation assay. J Vis Exp. 2011; (47):2437.
- Verves EV, Kucher AV, Muzychka LV, Smolii OB. Synthesis of 7-alkyl-4-amino-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d] pyrimidine-6-carboxylic acids. Chem Heterocycl Compd. 2013; 48(12):1844–52.
- Muzychka LV, Yaremchuk IO, Verves EV, Smolii OB. Pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine derivatives in the synthesis of a novel heterocyclic system 2a,5a,7-triazaacenaphthylene. *Chem Heterocycl Compd.* 2019; 55(4–5):397–400.
- Ranieri MR, Whitchurch CB, Burrows LL. Mechanisms of biofilm stimulation by subinhibitory concentrations of antimicrobials. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2018; 45:164–9.

Синтез та антибіоплівкова активність нових 1,4-дигідропіридо[1,2-*a*]піроло[2,3-*d*]піримідин-2-карбоксамідів

Л. В. Музичка, Н. І. Гуменюк, І. О. Бойко,

Н. О. Вринчану, О. Б. Смолій

Мета. Синтез нових алкілзаміщених 4-оксо-1,4дигідропіридо[1,2-*a*]піроло[2,3-*d*]піримідин-2карбоксамідів та оцінка їх антибіоплівкової активності в умовах *in vitro*. **Методи.** Органічний синтез, аналітичні та спектральні методи, мікрометод серійних розведень, формування біоплівок на абіотичній поверхні. Результати. Розроблено простий та ефективний метод синтезу нових похідних 1,4-дигідропіридо[1,2-а]піроло[2,3-а]піримідин-2-карбонової кислоти. Результати скринінгу антибіоплівкової активності показали, що серед синтезованих алкілзаміщених 1,4-дигідропіридо[1,2-*a*]піроло[2,3-*d*]піримідин-2карбоксамідів виявлено сполуки, здатні порушувати плівкоутворення метицилінрезистентного штаму S. aureus 222, E. coli 311 та P. aeruginosa 449. Сполука **6g** є активною щодо біоплівок *E. coli* 311, біомаса зменшується на 91.2 % та щодо S. aureus 222 (зменшення на 54.0 %), 6d — щодо біоплівок P. aeruginosa 449 та S. aureus 222 (зменшення на 78.7 % та 50.2 % відповідно). Висновки. Синтезовано ряд нових заміщених 1-алкіл-4-оксо-1,4-дигідропіридо[1,2-а] піроло[2,3-d]піримідин-2-карбоксамідів. Досліджено активність синтезованих піридо[1,2-а]піроло[2,3-d] піримідинів на формування біоплівок S. aureus 222, E. coli 311 та P. aeruginosa 449 та виявлено сполуки з виразною антибіоплівковою активністю.

Ключові слова: піридо[1,2-а]піроло[2,3-d]піримідини, піридо[1,2-а]піримідин-3-карбальдегіди, синтез, антибіоплівкова активність.

Received 09.01.2024