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Introduction. It is well-known that under the normal metabolic pathways molecular oxygen forms several types of primary and secondary free radicals and a large number of non-radical products, which are susceptible to interact with any cellular macromolecules and inducing alteration of cellular function. Approximately 1—5 % of normal cellular oxygen metabolism yields potentially reactive free radicals [1]. Nuclei can produce up to 25 % of total cell O_2 [2]. Nuclei contain near the same quantity of total superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT) and glutathione peroxidase (GPx) [3].

It is generally accepted that cells contain two types of SOD: Cu, ZnSOD cytoplasmic and MnSOD mitochondrial. The data about of nuclear SOD are not clear still. Some authors consider, that nuclei do not contain own SOD and uses cytoplasmic Cu, ZnSOD [2]. In developing brain cells about half of nuclear SOD is not inhibited with KCN. It means that nuclei can contains the both Cu, ZnSOD and MnSOD types [3]. The studies on fish erythrocytes, which has nuclei and no mitochondria, had suggested that nuclei contains only MnSOD [4]. The information about the nature of nuclear CAT and GPx is much more poor. In spite of a great importance to protect DNA against free radicals these problem is not discussed.

Materials and Methods. Adult Wistar rats were used. Nuclei from cerebral cortices were isolated in sucrose media including treatment with Triton X-100 [4]. SOD activity was assayed with the method of adrenaline autooxidation [5] with a slight modification: wavelength 340 nm was used instead of 480 nm; CAT and GPx activities was assayed as described elsewhere [6, 7]. Enzymes were extracted with nuclear homogenization in NaCl solution and centrifugated 60,000 g for 1 h, supernatants were used. Chromatography of nuclear extracts on hydroxyapatite were carried out as described elsewhere [8], 80 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 8.0 was used. HPLC chromatography were carried out on «Pharmacia» (Austria) columns and «ISCO» (USA) HPLC system. Chromatography on Superose 12 10/30 was carried out at flow rate 1 ml/min, 0.1 M NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6; 0.25 ml fractions were collected. The
$K_\alpha$ coefficients were used for enzymes molecular weight calculations. Chromatography on Mono P 5/20 was carried out at flow rate 1 ml/min, start buffer 25 mM bis-Tris HCl, pH 7.0, eluent 10:1 polybuffer 74, pH 4.0, fractions were collected in 0.2 pH units. Nuclear chromatin was fractionated with DNase I digestion as described elsewhere [8] for 10 min, 37 °C, 30 units of DNase I per 1 mg DNA of chromatin. Three fractions were obtained: S1 — the first supernatant after reaction termination and nuclei sedimentation, S2 — the second one after previous sediment extraction with 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4 and the sediment P. Optical analysis were carried out on Beckman DU-65 Spectrophotometer («Beckman», USA).

Results and Discussion Enzymes were released from nuclei under very high ionic strength (Fig. 1): more than 1 M NaCl. Therefore they have strong ionic binds with DNA. About the same value have only core histones — structural proteins of chromatin [10].

After extraction enzymes were partially purified with hydroxyapatite chromatography, concentrated with ammonium sulfate precipitation (45—70 % saturation) and applied on Superose 12 column (Fig. 2). Only one peak was observed for both enzymes. It means that enzymes are not subunitical, or under the operating conditions they completely dissociate to monomers. The $M_r$ of enzymes are: 10 kDa (SOD) and 44 kDa (CAT and GPx).

After gel-filtration the peaks with SOD, CAT and GPx activities were pooled, desalted and applied on Mono P column. SOD was eluted under pH 6.0; CAT and GPx were eluted together (as and under gel-filtration) under pH 5.8 (Fig. 3).

![Fig. 1. The extraction curve of SOD (1), CAT (2) and GPx (3) from nuclei](image1)

![Fig. 2. Chromatography on Superose 12 column: 1 — absorbance at 280 nm; 2 — activity of SOD; 3 — activity of CAT and GPx](image2)

![Fig. 3. Chromatofocusing on Mono P column: 1 — activity of SOD; 2 — activity of CAT and GPx](image3)

![Fig. 4. The effect of ionic strength and DNA (0.05 mg/ml) on SOD (1) and CAT (2) and GPx (3) activities](image4)
The data about the ionic strength effect on enzymes structure and properties have great significance for proteins which are associated with DNA. These types of analysis allow to model and study protein-DNA interaction. Activities of the SOD and CAT depend on NaCl concentration (Fig. 4). The data suggest that enzymes exist in two structural forms with low and high activity and have well-marked transitions. It is necessary to note that the positions of these transitions are coincided with extraction curves (Fig. 1). The activities of purified enzymes can be increased not only with ionic strength, but with DNA addition under a physiological NaCl concentration (dotted line on Fig. 4). So, the interaction with DNA induces some changes in enzymes molecule structure as NaCl.

With aim to study the enzymes arrangement within chromatin nuclei was fractionated with DNase I. As well-known, the most sensitive chromatin fraction (it is S1 of present work) consists of transcriptionally active nucleosomes [11]. Just this fraction is reached with SOD and CAT (Table).

The enzymes activities in chromatin fractions (ratio activity: DNA, in %)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fraction</th>
<th>DNA, %</th>
<th>SOD</th>
<th>CAT</th>
<th>GPx</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>59.1</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cu, ZnSOD is inhibited with KCN, but not MnSOD. These properties are used always with aim to determ two types of SOD. It was founded that even 10 mM KCN had no effect on purified nuclear SOD. On the other hand, even 10 mM CuSO₄ and/or ZnSO₄ did not change SOD activity, but 0.5 μM MnCl₂ induced about 50-multiple increasing of SOD activity.

So, nuclei contain own MnSOD, CAT and GPx. The main feature of these enzymes is their association with chromatin. On the other hand it does not means that enzymes have many basic residues. The detailed analysis of histone-DNA interactions [12] had shown that for histone octamer the strong ionic association with DNA phosphates about 10—14 arginine residues (no more then two residues per one histone molecule) are enough. So, the enzymes interaction with DNA can provide several (up to five) basic amino acids, but with high specific orientation in frames of the tertiary structure. All the other properties are other, but not very different from cytosolic forms.

It is necessary to note, that under all conditions CAT and GPx were eluted together. They have about the same properties as well. It allow to suppos that it is one enzyme with the both activities.
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Ядерные формы супероксиддисмутазы, каталазы и пероксидазы

Резюме

Ядра клеток коры головного мозга крыс содержат собственные специфические формы супероксиддисмутазы (СОД), каталазы (КАТ) и глутатионпероксидазы (ГПер). Ферменты прочно ассоциированы с хроматином (преимущественно с транскрипционно активной фракцией) и экстрагируются при концентрации NaCl> 1,2 М, СОД, КАТ и ГПер —
Ядерні форми супероксиддисмутази, каталази і пероксидази

РЕЗЮМЕ

Ядра клітин кори головного мозку щурів містять власні специфічні форми супероксиддисмутази (СОД), каталази (КАТ) і глутатіонпероксидази (ГПер). Ферменти міцно асоційовані з хроматином (переважно з транскрипційно активною фракцією) та екстрагуються при концентрації NaCl > 1,2 М. СОД має M, 10 кDa, КАТ і ГПер — 44 кDa (гель-фільтрація); ізоелектричну точку зафіксовано для СОД при рН 6,0, для КАТ і ГПер — при рН 5,8 (хроматофокусування). Активність ферментів залежить від іонної сили і стає максимальною при концентрації NaCl > 1,1 М. Ядерна СОД не інгібірується KCN і, мабуть, є МпСОД.
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